

## **CAMMUL FOR NATURE – AGAINST UNNATURAL SCIENCE**

To the Managing Director, SynBot Institute

Dear Sir

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the public, and as chairman of the recently formed 'Campaign Against Man-Made Unnatural Life'. CAMMUL has a fast-growing membership locally, with strong support in the community from many of us who are worried about the possible consequences of some of the so-called research your Institute plans to carry out. We are particularly concerned with that work that is described as 'genetic engineering', where you try to change the natural structure of living things, and create new un-natural plants that may lead to all sorts of unforeseen problems. CAMMUL will be arranging demonstrations, and lobbying local and national government, in order to prevent your Institute obtaining licences to allow you to work in this dangerous area.

CAMMUL is very concerned that this type of 'research' (or 'playing god with life' as we characterise it) is based on the widely discredited 'theory of evolution' which is now recognised to be a scientific theory which is confused, mistaken and contradicted by evidence available to anyone who chooses to look around them and think about the natural world. I will offer just a few of the points that CAMMUL and our supporters will be making about this outdated notion on our door-step campaign, and at the public meetings we are arranging.

For one thing, it is obvious to anyone with common sense that something like a green plant, with all its complex structure, could not possibly come about by chance. It is also obvious that there is so much variety in living things that they cannot possibly be derived from common ancestors! How can a daisy, an elephant, and a mushroom have had common grandparents!

Artificial selection has led to new varieties of dogs, sheep, roses and so on: but this is only possible because someone has selected which parents should breed to give offspring with the desired characteristics. Left to their own devices, living things are not going to breed to 'improve' the species! In any case, artificial selection has produced new varieties of dogs and sheep and so on – no one has ever managed to breed sheep from dogs, no matter how much they have selected the parents!

There are many distinct types of living things around, but if they are evolving you should find intermediates, such as a half-man half-bee, or half-daisy half-elephant! This does not happen - everyone knows that

parents always leave offspring of the same type. No one has ever seen one type of animal give birth to a completely new type of animal – one that is a different species to the parents. If parents always have offspring that are the same species, then new species cannot evolve. If man had evolved from monkeys, then why are there still monkeys? Shouldn't they have all evolved into men?

Evolution is supposed to 'explain' how living things are the way they are, because they must be so 'fit' to survive. If it allows animals and plants to become better matched to their environments, then why do the genes for some diseases seem to get passed on so effectively? And why are some young born deformed or too weak to survive? As you will surely appreciate, it is about time you scientists drop this foolish idea of evolution, and stop trying to improve on nature, before something terrible comes of this folly.

Yours sincerely

**Ignor Raymus**

Chair, CAMMUL